

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RHONDA DECAMP,

Defendant.

8:12CR391

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Rhonda DeCamp (DeCamp) (Filing No. 113). DeCamp seeks additional time in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. DeCamp's counsel represents that DeCamp will file an affidavit wherein she consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant DeCamp's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 113) is granted. DeCamp is given until on or before **February 22, 2013**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **January 22, 2013 and February 22, 2013**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

Dated this 22nd day of January, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge